


AP-9/AE-9: New Radiation Specification Models

ISO TC20/SC14/WG4

27 October 2011



T. P. O'Brien, Aerospace Corporation
G. P. Ginet, MIT Lincoln Laboratory
D. L. Byers, National Reconnaissance Office



The Team



Technical

Gregory Ginet/MIT-LL
Paul O'Brien/Aerospace
Tim Guild/Aerospace
Stuart Huston/Boston College
Dan Madden/Boston College
Rick Quinn/AER
Chris Roth/AER
Paul Whelan/AER
Reiner Friedel/LANL
Steve Morley/LANL
Chad Lindstrom/AFRL
Bob Johnston/AFRL
Richard Selesnick/AFRL
Yi-Jiun Caton/AFRL
Brian Wie/NRO/NGC

Management

Dave Byers/NRO
Michael Starks/AFRL
James Metcalf/AFRL

Thanks to:

Tim Alsrue/SCITOR
Kara Perry/AFRL
Seth Claudepierre/Aerospace



International Contributors:

ONERA, France/CNES
T. Obara, JAXA
Hope to add more...

AP9/AE9 Program Objective

Provide satellite designers with a definitive model of the trapped energetic particle & plasma environment

- Probability of occurrence (percentile levels) for flux and fluence averaged over different exposure periods
- Broad energy ranges from keV plasma to GeV protons
- Complete spatial coverage with sufficient resolution
- Indications of uncertainty



Satellite Hazard	Particle Population	Natural Variation
Surface Charging	0.01 - 100 keV e ⁻	Minutes
Surface Dose	0.5 - 100 keV e ⁻ , H ⁺ , O ⁺	Minutes
Internal Charging	100 keV - 10 MeV e ⁻	Hours
Total Ionizing Dose	>100 keV H ⁺ , e ⁻	Hours
Single Event Effects	>10 MeV/amu H ⁺ , Heavy ions	Days
Displacement Damage	>10 MeV H ⁺ , Secondary neutrons	Days
Nuclear Activation	>50 MeV H ⁺ , Secondary neutrons	Weeks

Space particle populations and hazards



Requirements



Summary of SEEWG, NASA workshop & AE(P)-9 outreach efforts:

Priority	Species	Energy	Location	Sample Period	Effects
1	Protons	>10 MeV (> 80 MeV)	LEO & MEO	Mission	Dose, SEE, DD, nuclear activation
2	Electrons	> 1 MeV	LEO, MEO & GEO	5 min, 1 hr, 1 day, 1 week, & mission	Dose, internal charging
3	Plasma	30 eV – 100 keV (30 eV – 5 keV)	LEO, MEO & GEO	5 min, 1 hr, 1 day, 1 week, & mission	Surface charging & dose
4	Electrons	100 keV – 1 MeV	MEO & GEO	5 min, 1 hr, 1 day, 1 week, & mission	Internal charging, dose
5	Protons	1 MeV – 10 MeV (5 – 10 MeV)	LEO, MEO & GEO	Mission	Dose (e.g. solar cells)

(indicates especially desired or deficient region of current models)


Inputs:

- Orbital elements, start & end times
- Species & energies of concern (optional: incident direction of interest)

Outputs:

- Mean and percentile levels for whole mission or as a function of time for omni- or unidirectional, differential or integral particle fluxes [$\#/(cm^2 s)$ or $\#/(cm^2 s MeV)$ or $\#/(cm^2 s sr MeV)$] aggregated over requested sample periods

Coordinate System



Adiabatic invariants:

– Cyclotron motion: $\mu = \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{2mB} = \frac{p^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{2mB}$


– Bounce motion: $K = \int_{s_m}^{s_m'} [B_m - B(s)] ds$

– Drift motion: $\Phi = \oiint_{S_{\square}} da \cdot B$ and $L^* = \frac{2\pi M}{\Phi R_E}$


Choose (E, K, Φ) coordinates

– IGRF/Olson-Pfizer 77 Quiet B-field model

– Minimizes variation of distribution across magnetic epochs




OR



LEO Coordinate System

- **Version Beta (Φ , K) grid inadequate for LEO**

- Not enough loss cone resolution
- No “longitude” or “altitude” coordinate
 - Invariants destroyed by altitude-dependent density effects
 - Earth’s internal B field changes amplitude & moves around
 - What was once out of the loss-cone may no longer be and vice-versa
 - Drift loss cone electron fluxes cannot be neglected
- **No systematic Solar Cycle Variation**




- **Version 1.0 will splice a LEO grid onto the (Φ , K) grid at ~1000-2000 km**


- Minimum mirror altitude coordinate h_{\min} to replace Φ
- Capture quasi-trapped fluxes by allowing $h_{\min} < 0$ (electron drift loss cone)

Sources of Uncertainty


Space weather




> 1.5 MeV Electrons



> 30 MeV Protons



Particle detectors




GEANT-4 MC simulation of detector response

- Imperfect electronics (dead time, pile-up)
- Inadequate modeling & calibration
- Contamination & secondary emission
- Limited mission duration

**To the spacecraft engineer
uncertainty is uncertainty
regardless of source**

Architecture Overview


Satellite data



Flux maps

- Derive from empirical data
- Create maps for median and 95th percentile of distribution function
 - Maps characterize nominal and extreme environments
- Include error maps with instrument uncertainty
- Apply interpolation algorithms to fill in the gaps

Satellite data & theory




+

=

Statistical Monte-Carlo Model

- Compute spatial and temporal correlation as spatiotemporal covariance matrices
 - From data (Version Beta & 1.0)
 - Use one-day (protons) and 6 hour (electrons) sampling time (V 1.0)
- Set up Nth-order auto-regressive system to evolve perturbed maps in time
 - Covariance matrices give SWx dynamics
 - Flux maps perturbed with error estimate gives instrument uncertainty

User's orbit



User application

- Runs statistical model N times with different random seeds to get N flux profiles
- Computes dose rate, dose or other desired quantity derivable from flux for each scenario
- Aggregates N profiles to get median, 75th and 90th confidence levels on computed quantities



Data Sets


Protons	Orbit				Energy [MeV]																								
	LEO	MEO	HEO	GEO	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.60	0.80	1.00	2.00	4.00	6.00	8.00	10.0	15.0	20.0	30.0	50.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	150.0	200.0	300.0	400.0	700.0	1200.0	2000.0
CRRES/PROTEL	█	█	█	█							█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
S3-3/Telescope	█				█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
ICO/Dosimeter	█	█													█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
HEO-F3/Dosimeter			█												█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
TSX5/CEASE	█														█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
POLAR/IPS		█	█		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
POLAR/HISTp		█	█									█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
HEO-F1/Dosimeter			█												█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█

Electrons	Orbit				Energy [MeV]																								
	LEO	MEO	HEO	GEO	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.50	6.00	6.50	7.00	8.50	10.0				
CRRES/MEA/HEEF	█	█	█	█			█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	
S3-3/MES	█				█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	
ICO/Dosimeter	█	█													█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	
HEO-F3/Dos/Tel			█												█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	
TSX5/CEASE	█						█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	
POLAR/HISTe		█	█		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
POLAR/IES		█	█		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
GPS/BDDII		█													█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
LANL GEO/SOPA				█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
SCATHA/SC3		█			█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
HEO-F1/Dos/Tel			█												█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█

Plasma	Orbit				Energy [keV]											
	LEO	MEO	HEO	GEO	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	6.00	12.00	20.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	100.0	150.0
POLAR/CAMMICE/MICS		█	█		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
POLAR/Hydra		█	█		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
LANL GEO/MPA				█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█




Proton Cross-Cal Tree








Electron Cross-Cal Tree



Building Flux Maps



Low resolution energy & wide angle detector?




Data collection → Cross-calibration → Sensor modeling → Spectral inversion

Binning to model grid


Angle mapping to j_{90}



Example: Proton Flux Maps




Example: Electron Flux Maps




Model Comparison: GPS Orbit

Electrons > 1 MeV



Comparison of AE9 mean to AE8



AE9 full Monte-Carlo – 40 runs




Data Comparison: GEO electrons DSP-21/CEASE (V β .2)




0.125 MeV

DSP, >0.125 MeV electrons, 40 MC runs




0.55 MeV

DSP, >0.55 MeV electrons, 40 MC runs




1.25 MeV


DSP, >1.25 MeV electrons, 40 MC runs




>0.125 MeV electrons, TEL T2



>0.55 MeV electrons, TEL T4



>1.25 MeV electrons, DOSIM DD1LF



10 year runs, 40 MC scenarios, 1 – 5 min time step



Summary



- **AE-9/AP-9 will improve upon AE-8/AP-8 to address modern space system design needs**
 - More coverage in energy, time & location for *trapped* energetic particles & plasma
 - Includes estimates of instrument error & space weather statistical fluctuations
- **Version Beta.3 now in limited distribution**
 - Provides mean and Monte-Carlo scenarios of flux along arbitrary orbits
 - Dose calculations provided with ShieldDose utility
 - Includes historical AP8/AE8, CRRES and CAMMICE/MICS models
 - **NOT TO BE USED FOR SATELLITE DESIGN OR SCIENTIFIC STUDIES**
- **Version 1.0 graded release**
 - Can be used for satellite design and science
 - Limited distribution in Nov 2011
 - Will be open distribution in early 2012
 - Standard solar-cycle in Version 1.0+, release date TBD
- **Version 2 will include much needed new data sets**
 - Relativistic Proton Spectrometer and other instruments on NASA Radiation Belt Storm Probes giving complete radiation belt coverage (launch in ~2012)
 - Instruments on TACSAT-4 (launched Sep 2011), DSX (2012) will provide slot region coverage
 - Due two years after RBSP launch



Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY
EST. 1943

Backups

Energetic Particle & Plasma Hazards

False stars in star tracker CCDs



Surface degradation from radiation

Solar array power decrease due to radiation damage

Electronics degrade due to total radiation dose

Solar array arc discharge


Single event effects in microelectronics: bit flips, fatal latch-ups

1101 \Rightarrow 0101


Spacecraft components become radioactive



Electromagnetic pulse from vehicle discharge




Example: Highly Elliptic Orbit (HEO)



HEO dose measurements show that current radiation models (AE8 & AP8) **over estimate the dose** for thinner shielding

Example: Medium-Earth Orbit (MEO)




For MEO orbit ($L=2.2$), #years to reach 100 kRad:

- Quiet conditions (NASA AP8, AE8) : 88 yrs
- Active conditions (CRRES active) : 1.1 yrs

AE8 & AP8 **under estimate the dose** for 0.23'' shielding

Model differences depend on energy:




AP-8/AE-8 have limitations impacting modern spacecraft design and mission planning



Monte-Carlo Quantities




Quantity	Symbol	Size	Purpose
Parameter map	$\theta(E,K,\Phi)$	$\sim 50,000 \times 2$	Represents transformed 50 th and 95 th percentile flux on coordinate grid (weather variation)
Parameter Perturbation Transform	$S_{\theta}(E,K,\Phi)$	$\sim 50,000 \times 2 \times \sim 10$	Represents error covariance matrix for θ (measurement errors). $S_{\theta}S_{\theta}^T$ is the error covariance matrix for θ .
Principal Component Matrix	$Q(E,K,\Phi)$	$\sim 50,000 \times 10$	Represents principal components (q) of spatial variation (spatial correlation). QQ^T is the spatial covariance matrix for normalized flux (z).
Time Evolution Matrix	G	$\sim 10 \times 10 \times 3$ (V1.0 has multiple G 's)	Represents persistence of principal components (temporal correlation)
Noise Conditioning Matrix	C	$\sim 10 \times 10$	Allocates white noise driver to principal components (Monte Carlo dynamics)
Marginal Distribution Type	N/A	N/A	Weibull (electrons) or Lognormal (protons) used for converting 50 th and 95 th percentiles into mean or other percentiles



Monte-Carlo Scenarios


Initialization and white noise drivers are different for each scenario to represent unpredictable dynamics

Conversion to flux is different for each scenario to represent measurement uncertainty in the flux maps




To obtain percentiles and confidence intervals for a given mission, one runs many scenarios and post-processes the flux time series to compute statistics *on the estimated radiation effects across scenarios.*

Generating the Runtime Tables





Data Sets



Data Set	Orbit/Duration	Measurements
HEO-1	Molniya, L>2, little coverage L<4, 1994 onward	Dosimeter, p+: >80, >160, >320 keV, >20, >40, >55, >66 MeV e- : >130, >230 keV, >1.5, >4, >6.5, >8.5 MeV
HEO-3	Molniya, L>2, 1997 onward	Dosimeter, p+: >80, >160, >320 keV, >5, 16-40, 27-45 MeV e- : >130, >230, >450, >630 keV, >1.5, >3.0 MeV
ICO	45°, 10000 km circular, MEO L>2.5, 2001 onward	Dosimeter, p+: >15, >24, >33, >44, >54 MeV e- : >1.2, >2.2, >4, >6, >8 MeV
TSX-5	67° LEO, 400 x 1700 km, June 2000- Jul 2006	CEASE (dosimeter & telescope), p+: 20 – 100 MeV, 4 int. channels; e- : 0.06 – 4 MeV, 5 int. channels
CRRES	GTO, L>1.1, contamination issues in inner zone, Jul 1990 – Oct 1991	PROTEL, p+: 1 – 100 MeV, 22 channels HEEF, e-: 0.6 – 6 MeV, 10 channels; MEA(e-): 0.1 – 1.0 MeV LEPA, p+ & e-: 100 ev – 50 KeV
S3-3	97.5° MEO, 236 x 8048 km, 1976-1979	p+: 80 keV – 15.5 MeV (5 ch), > 60 MeV (no GF) e- : 12 keV – 1.6 MeV (12 ch)
GPS	54°, 20000 km, MEO L>4.2, Jan 1990 onwards	BDD/CXD, p+: 5/9 – 60 MeV e- : 0.1/0.2 – 10 MeV
Polar	90°, 1.8 x 9.0 Re, Feb 1996 – Apr 2008	CAMMICE/MICS, p+, O+: 1-200 keV/e HYDRA, p+, e-: 2 eV – 35 keV IES/HISTe, e-: 30 keV – 10 MeV
SCATHA	Near-GEO, 5.5 < L < 7.5, 1979 - 1989	SC3, e-: 0.05 – 4.6 MeV, 11 differential channels
MDS-1	GTO, L>1.1, 2002-2003	Electron channels: ~0.5, ~1, ~2 MeV
AZUR	103°, 387 x 3150 km, 1969-1970	12 proton channels, 0.25- 100 MeV
GOES 7,8 & 11	GEO 1986 onwards	SEM, p+: 0.8 – 700 MeV, 10 differential channels >1, >5, >10, >30, >50, > 100 MeV integral channels
SAMPEX	LEO (500 km) 1992.5 onward	PET, p+: up to 400 MeV e- : >0.5, >1, 1-6, 3-16, 10-20 MeV
LANL - GEO	GEO 1985 onwards	MPA/CPA/ESP/SOPA, p+: 0.1 keV – 200 MeV e- : 0.1 keV - > 10 MeV
DSP-21	GEO Aug 2001 onward	CEASE (dosimeter & telescope) p+: 20 – 100 MeV, 4 integral channel e- : 0.06 – 4 MeV, 5 integral channels
TWINS F1	HEO 2006 onwards	Dosimeter, p+: > 8.5, > 16, > 27 MeV; e-: > 0.63, > 1.5, > 3 MeV

Version Beta


Version 1.0

Version 1.+


Validation Only

Cross-calibration

- **In-flight detector cross-calibration is used to estimate the measurement uncertainties**
 - Building first-principle error budgets for detectors is complicated and often impossible
 - By looking at the same event cross-calibration can estimate and remove systematic error between detectors given a “standard sensor”
 - Residual random error for each detector then becomes the “detector error” used in AP9/AE9 development
- **For protons (easier):**
 - Look at simultaneous observations of solar proton events (SPEs) which provide a uniform environment at high latitudes and altitudes
 - Standard sensor = GOES
 - Chain of cross-cal completed for Version Beta
- **For electrons (harder):**
 - No uniform solar “electron event” – need at least Lshell conjunction
 - Standard sensor = CRRES/HEEF-MEA
 - Cross-cal NOT completed for Version Beta





A proton event as seen by a HEO satellite



GOES-8/SEM – TSX-5/CEASE data during an SPE




Spectral Inversion



Dosimeter data sets have wide spatial and temporal coverage ...but require response models & inversion algorithms to pull out spectra

- **Protons are straight forward,**
 - Power law between 10 – 100 MeV; fixed rate exponential > 100 MeV (Version Beta)
 - Use Principle Component Analysis (PCA) for Version 1.0
- **Electrons are more complex**
 - LANL relativistic Maxwellian for GPS data only (Version Beta)
 - PCA techniques using CRRES/HEEF/MEA as basis being used for Version 1.0

Proton Inversion Validation




- **Validate proton spectral inversion algorithms during solar proton events**

- Invert HEO-F1/Dosimeter, HEO-F3/Dosimeter, ICO/Dosimeter, TSX-5/CEASE and DSP-21/CEASE data

- Compare with GOES detailed spectra



- **Reasonable agreement given SPEs are not always power laws**

- **Example: Halloween 2003 SPE**



Building Flux Maps

High resolution energy & pitch angle detector?



Data collection




Cross-calibration



Energy & angle interpolation

Binning to model grid





Version Beta.1 Validation



Known issues:


- **Electron data not complete nor cross-calibrated**
 - Error bars fixed at $\delta \ln j = 0.1$
 - Minimal LEO and inner belt data
- **Independent LEO coordinate system not implemented**
 - (φ, K) coordinates not good enough below ~ 1600 km due to neutral density effects & Earth B-field variations
- **Covariance matrices only computed for one-day lag time**
 - Longer time-scale SWx fluctuations not being adequately captured
- **Performance not optimized**
 - LEO: 17 min/(scenario day) at 10 sec resolution -> 103 hrs/(scenario year)
 - MEO: 3.2 min/(scenario day) at 1 min resolution -> 19 hrs/(scenario year)

AE9/AP9 Version Beta is for test purposes only - NOT to be used for satellite design or other applications!

Model Comparisons (V β .2)


HEO (1475 X 38900 km, 63° incl.)

MOLNIYA, >30 MeV protons, 40 MC runs




GTO (500 X 30600 km, 10° incl.)

RBSP, >30 MeV protons, 40 MC runs




MEO (10000 km circ., 45° incl.)


MEO (10000 km), >30 MeV protons, 40 MC runs




MOLNIYA, >1.5 MeV electrons, 40 MC runs



RBSP, >1.5 MeV electrons, 40 MC runs



MEO (10000 km), >1.5 MeV electrons, 40 MC runs




2 week runs, 40 MC scenarios, 1 – 5 min time step



Software Applications



- **Primary product: AP9/AE9 “flyin()” routine modeled after ONERA/IRBEM Library**
 - C++ code with command line operations
 - Open source available for other third party applications (e.g. STK, Space Radiation, SPENVIS)
 - Runs *single* Monte-Carlo scenario
 - Input: ephemeris
 - Output: flux values along orbit
 - Mean (no instrument error or SWx)
 - Perturbed Mean (no SWx)
 - Full Monte-Carlo
- **Effects (e.g. dose, charging) must be modeled by third-party tools**
 - Monte-Carlo aggregator, SHIELDOSE-2 and running averages are provided in command line app and GUI for demonstration purposes





AP9/AE9 Code Stack



GUI input and outputs

- User-friendly access to AE-9/AP-9 with nominal graphical outputs

High-level Utility Layer

- Command line C++ interface to utilities for producing mission statistics
- Aggregates results of many MC scenarios (flux, fluence, mean, percentiles)
- Provides access to orbit propagator and other models (e.g. AP8/AE8, CRRES)
- Provides dose rate and dose for user-specified thicknesses (ShieldDose-2)

Application Layer

- Simple C++ interface to single Monte-Carlo scenario “flyin()” routines

AP9/AE9 Model Layer




- Main workhorse; manages DB-access, coordinate transforms and Monte Carlo cycles; error matrix manipulations

Low-level Utility Layer

- DB-access, Magfield, GSL/Boost


Future Data Sources

- Inner zone protons are poorly measured ,
 - Specification of energetic protons is #1 satellite design priority
 - HEO-1/Dosimeter (1994 – current) – little inner zone coverage
 - HEO-3/Dosimeter (1997 – current) – little inner zone coverage
 - ICO/Dosimeter (2001 – current) – only slot region coverage
 - CRRES/PROTEL (1990-1991) – contamination issues in inner zone
- Relativistic Proton Spectrometer (RPS) on NASA Radiation Belt Storm Probes (RBSP)
 - Measures protons 50 MeV - 2 GeV
 - RPS instruments provided by NRO will be on the 2 RBSP satellites (launch ~ Aug 2012)
 - Other NASA detectors on RBSP in GTO will provide comprehensive coverage of the entire radiation belt regions
- Other upcoming data sources:
 - AFRL DSX, 6000 x 12000 km, 68° (slot region), comprehensive set of particle detectors including protons 50 MeV – 450 MeV, launch Oct 2012
 - TACSAT-4, 700 x 12050 km , 63° (inner belt & slot region), CEASE detector, launched Sep 2011





Schedule




- **Version Beta.1** (May 2010) – command line code with GUI
- **Version Beta.2** (Jun 2010) – improved satellite ephemeris reader
- **Version Beta.3** (Now)– includes additional models AE8/AP8, CRRESPRO/ELE, CAMMICE plasma model & other improvements
- **Version 1.0** (Nov 2011) – first version for satellite design applications
- **Version 2.0** (~Apr 2015) – includes data from NASA RBSP & AFRL DSX satellites



Proton Calibration Factors



Proton Calibration Factors






Proton Residual Errors




Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY
EST. 1943

Proton Residual Errors




Electron Calibration Factors





Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY
EST. 1943

Electron Residual Errors





Plasma Cross-Cal Tree



Protons

- LANL-GEO/MPA 1990-095
- LANL-GEO/MPA 1991-080
- LANL-GEO/MPA 1994-084
- LANL-GEO/MPA LANL-97A

• POLAR/CAMMICE/MICS/Roeder

• POLAR/CAMMICE/MICS/Niehof

Electrons

- LANL-GEO/MPA 1990-095
- LANL-GEO/MPA 1991-080
- LANL-GEO/MPA 1994-084
- LANL-GEO/MPA LANL-97A

• POLAR/Hydra

• **Differential/Integral** channels



• Cross calibration links



• RMS error only


• **SPM data sets**



Plasma Ion Calibration Factors



Plasma-ion Calibration Factors





Plasma Ion Residual Errors



Plasma-ion Residual Errors

